

## CUNNING FOILED BY YOUNG SLEUTHS

Youthful Disciples of Sherlock Holmes Believe They Have Solved Mystery.

### "JAILBREAKERS" SECRETS

AMATEUR DETECTIVES MAY STAR IN ENTERTAINMENT.

"Cunning Undone, or The Newsboy Detective," is to be the title of a new penny dreadful that may be published in the near future by a number of youthful disciples of Sherlock Holmes who believe that they have solved a mystery of the deepest dye that has puzzled great audiences for weeks in many cities of the country.

A delegation of members of the newsboys and bootblacks' union called at the office of The Herald last night to announce that they, the youthful disciples of Sherlock Holmes, had solved the mystery of the escape of Cunning, the jailbreaker, from the closely nailed packing case in which he was enclosed on the stage.

The performances of the jailbreaker had been witnessed by many of the members of the union, and from their place of vantage in the gallery they saw the performance with as great pleasure as those who witnessed it from the pit.

Suddenly the boys, all of whom are disciples of Sherlock Holmes, old sleuth and notable crime detectors, came to the conclusion that here was a mystery that was suitably dark and deep to merit their attention.

"The first thing we've got to do," said Frank Myers, "is to discover a clue. That's what all the real detectives do when they're solving mysteries, and result fair winners from the gang of desperadoes what's got 'em caught for fair."

Start Hot on Trail.

"Sure, dat's right," echoed the other young sleuths.

As soon as the afternoon performance was concluded the band of "Pinks" descended from their lofty station in the gallery and made their way to the stage entrance.

Admission to the stage was easily secured for the purpose of examining the box in which Cunning had been nailed, and from which he had made his escape.

"Hey, fellers," whispered Chief Detective Frank Myers, "I've got a clue." Breathless with excitement, the other sleuths crowded around him, on tiptoes, and looked for the clue.

"Look in the crack an' tell me wot ye see," he said.

Four pairs of bright eyes were applied to a crack in the box, and one of the boys said:

"See, it's a saw."

"Sure, an' ain't a saw a clue?" asked Earl Evans.

Floyd Mills pushed the investigation a little further and, to the joy of his associates, discovered that a board could be removed from the side of the box.

"See, kids, I'm on to Cunning's game. He jist takes dis here saw inter der box, and w'en de guy nails de boards down he saws de nails off wid de saw. See?"

Nails Sawed Off.

Charles Peck looked closely, and then almost shouted:

"See here, fellers, these nails are all sawed off."

That ended the mystery of Cunning's escape from the packing case, so far as the amateur detectives were concerned. The dark ways of the jailbreaker were made as light as day to them.

"Cunning may be cunning, all right," said one of the boys, "but he ain't cunning enough for the newsboys."

CHANGES AT WANDAMERE

Several Improvements are Contemplated for Next Season—Women Free Labor Day.

Labor day will be women's and children's day at Wandamere. They will be admitted free of charge. For next season the company has a number of extensive improvements projected, among them the erection of a mammoth dancing pavilion, the installation of fresh water bathing facilities and possibly the equipment of a roller skating rink.

Bookings for the week are as follows: Monday—Danish Sisterhood and Loy-al Americans.

Tuesday—Pacific Islanders from Davis, Tooele and six Salt Lake stakes.

Wednesday—Thirty-first ward, Seventh ward, Tenth ward, Ensign stake M. I. A. Twenty-first ward choir, Eleventh ward choir and German societies.

Thursday—Murray City band and Murray and South Cottonwood choirs.

Friday—Liberty stake Sunday schools.

Saturday—Grand ball in the evening.

See assignee's sale of Automobiles, etc., at auction Thursday at 116 State street in auction sales' column. New Salt Lake Auto Co. in bankruptcy.

Heid's band at Liberty Park today.

## DESPERATE ATTEMPT TO KILL RUSSIAN PREMIER

Continued from page 1.

twisted and shattered wood and iron. About ten yards from the door was the driver, who, it is thought, was also a revolutionist, and who had been killed on the spot.

Room Filled With Guests.

At the moment of the explosion a large reception room at the left of the anteroom was filled with a great concourse of officials and visitors, as today was the premier's reception day. M. Stolypin himself received his guests. After the explosion of the bomb a few moments of stupefaction followed among the uninjured survivors and persons in the neighborhood, including a number of soldiers from a grenadier regiment, rushed to the spot and commenced to carry away the dead and wounded is not known as this now caught fire as a result of the explosion. The exact number of killed and wounded is not known as the dispatch is forwarded owing to the frightful mutilated state of many of the corpses and the fact that some of the wounded were carried to nearby residences and have not yet been accounted for.

It is believed, however, that twenty-five were killed and twenty-four wounded were taken to a neighboring hospital.

### BOMB DROPPED BY ACCIDENT.

Official Account of the Tragedy at M. Stolypin's Residence.

St. Petersburg, Aug. 25.—According to the official account of the attempt on M. Stolypin's life, it appears that at 4 o'clock this afternoon a carriage containing four persons, two dressed in civilian clothes and two in foreign military uniforms, drew up at his villa. The men entered the ante-chamber, one of them holding his helmet in his hand as though concealing a bomb, which accidentally fell in the ante-chamber with the terrible results already detailed. General Zameatin was instantly killed and M. Voronin, a court chamberlain, who was attending the premier's official reception, was decapitated, while all the other persons in the ante-chamber, including the three men accompanying the killed, were killed.

Stolypin's Daughter Killed.

The legs of M. Stolypin's daughter, the official account adds, were so severely injured that they had to be amputated, and death ensued. His son had a leg broken. Prince Shakhovskoi, who was in an apartment adjoining the ante-chamber, was injured. The coachman of the carriage which brought the terrorists to the villa was killed and the vehicle was destroyed, but the horses were not injured.

M. Khovostoff, former governor of the province of Penza, and Court Chamberlain Davidoff were among those killed.

Disguised as Gendarmes.

The fact that two of the assassins were disguised as gendarmes doubtless was due to an effort on their part to make it appear that they were escorting a political prisoner, the third man of the group being attired in clothes of a civilian.

The police authorities this afternoon declared that the assassins carried portfolios, which, it is believed, contained bombs.

The whole of the center of the house was blown out.

Twenty persons were killed and about the same number wounded.

Excited and Terrorized.

For over one hour after the explosion there was a scene of the greatest confusion at the villa. A great concourse of spectators gathered there, handling horrible remnants of the tragedy and carrying some of them away as souvenirs. The police apparently had lost their presence of mind, for they did little or nothing until the arrival of M. Makaroff, the assistant minister of the interior, under whose orders the villa's remains were cleared and an investigation of the tragedy begun.

POLICE CAPTAIN KILLED.

Deadly Work of a Bomb Thrown at Bendzin, Russian Poland.

Berlin, Aug. 25.—A dispatch to the Tagblatt from Katowitz announces that a bomb thrown at Bendzin, Russian Poland, today killed Police Captain Jakubik and two policemen, and dangerously wounded another policeman. The bomb was evidently intended for Jakubik, who commanded a patrol which on Monday killed a Jew. The explosion destroyed the district police office. A detachment of Cossacks fired several volleys and wounded a number of passers-by.

SALT-AT-BATHING.

It is as good as ever. Try it and prove it for yourself.

The flavor of many a good Steak has been marred by the use of inferior Ketchup. Be on the safe and sure side. Always ask for MOUNT'S.

Letter Sent Out.

At the time of making the demand on out part for copies of correspondence, etc., in the letter of June 27, above referred to, we had been advised that on May 11, 1906, the secretary of the commission had sent out to various colleges a copy of the so-called statistics set forth in the appendix of the majority report filed with the secretary of state, together with a letter of which the following is a copy, viz:

"Commission to Investigate the Work of the Agricultural College of Utah and the University of Utah.—Salt Lake City, Utah, May 11, 1906.

"Dear Sir:—A few months ago the governor of Utah, authorized by the last legislature, appointed a commission to investigate the work of the Agricultural College of Utah and the University of Utah, with a view of ascertaining whether these institutions should be continued separately or be combined. This commission, I take the liberty of submitting to you the enclosed facts in the hope that you will give us an opinion respecting the matter.

"Your opinion as to whether we should combine our schools or not will be greatly appreciated by the members of the commission, and will aid our state in the settlement of an important and difficult question.

"GEORGE A. EATON, Secretary."

Say They Weren't Counseled.

The matter of the preparation of the so-called statistics was never, so far as we are able to learn, even suggested in any of the meetings of the commission, and the undersigned members of the commission were never consulted with reference to the preparation of the same, or with reference to any of the facts to be incorporated therein, and the same, as well as the letters accompanying them, were sent out without our knowledge, or any intimation that they had been prepared by the secretary of the commission, or by whomsoever they may have been prepared, or ever were in the course of preparation. So far as we can learn, the action in this respect was unauthorized, and we especially disclaim

## DECLARED REPLY WERE GLOPED

Minority Members of School Commission File Protest With Governor.

### ATTACK ON THE MAJORITY

OPONENTS OF CONSOLIDATION FIRE HOT SHOT AT FOES.

Governor Cutler yesterday received from H. Bullen, Jr., and J. C. Walters, minority members of the commission which considered the advisability of consolidating the University of Utah and the Agricultural College, a protest against the treatment to which they were subjected by the majority members of the commission, who favor consolidation. The fact that such a protest was to be presented by Messrs. Bullen and Walters was published exclusively in The Herald yesterday morning.

Messrs. Bullen and Walters charge, in brief, that information was withheld from them, that they were never given an opportunity to present their side of the case, and that letters of advice given by eastern college presidents were garbled in the majority report of the commission recommending consolidation.

Text of Protest.

Following is the protest in full:

Hon. John C. Cutler, Governor of the State of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah: Dear Sir:—On or about Sept. 1, 1905 you saw fit to appoint us members of a commission to investigate the work of the Agricultural College of Utah and the University of Utah, said commission having been created in accordance with chapter 10 of the session laws of 1905. Appreciative of the honor conferred, and at the same time anxious to do anything in our power to assist you in the educational problems confronting the state at that time we accepted the appointment, and in conjunction with our fellow colleagues we have since that time been at various intervals from Sept. 1, 1905, to June 25, 1906.

In accepting this appointment we did not feel that we were representatives of the Agricultural College, or its interests, nor did we feel that it was the duty of the members of the commission, by splitting hairs, or trickery, to obtain mastery over any member or members. On the other hand, we accepted, feeling that we were officers of the state and that it was our duty to investigate along purely honest and unbiased lines; that there should be no favoritism, and that the members thereof that would not permit of the slightest question, and that all matters should be brought before the commission should be at the disposition of each and every member of the commission, to assist them in arriving at such conclusions as they might deem proper.

As you perhaps will recall at the meeting held on June 13, 1906, a vote was taken on the question of consolidation, which resulted in a division, and at the close of the meeting of the following day it was voted that the two divisions come together on or before Tuesday, June 26, 1906. At the close of the meeting held on the 26th, as far as we are concerned, the matter was closed.

At the time of the undersigned (Mr. Bullen) stated that considerable information in the hands of the secretary of the commission—rightfully the property of the commission—which we had not had the privilege of inspecting, and accordingly on June 27, 1906, we addressed the following letter to the secretary of the commission, viz:

Salt Lake City, Utah, June 27, 1906. Professor George A. Eaton, Secretary of the Commission to Investigate Duplication of Work at University of Utah and Agricultural College, Salt Lake City, Utah.

"Dear Sir:—The undersigned members of the commission, with a view to making a report to the secretary of state, hereby make request that you permit us to have access to all documents, correspondence and other matters relating to the question under consideration. We have not already been furnished the documents referred to, are in your possession, and we request that you permit us to have access to them."

To this communication the following reply was received, viz:

Salt Lake City, Utah, June 27, 1906. Messrs. Walters and Bullen, Salt Lake City, Utah.

"Gentlemen:—In reply to your immediate request, asking for copies of all documents, and other matters relating to the question under consideration, by the commission, we will say that all matters referred to by the commission with the documents referred to, are in your possession, and we request that you permit us to have access to them."

May 15, 1906.—Dear Sir:—In my opinion, having access to the records of the Agricultural College and the University of Utah, and the university separate in each state is preferable. I think, however, that the work of the two institutions should be as separate and distinct as possible. It seems logical that the agricultural and mechanical colleges should have all the courses leading to industrial occupations, namely: Agriculture, various engineering courses, and domestic science. The Agricultural College, various engineering courses, and the professional schools. Until, at least, the agricultural colleges have the liberal arts and the professional schools. Until, at least, the agricultural colleges have the liberal arts and the professional schools. Until, at least, the agricultural colleges have the liberal arts and the professional schools.

Very truly yours, E. R. NICHOLS.

Letter From Kansas.

May 15, 1906.—Dear Sir:—In my opinion, having access to the records of the Agricultural College and the University of Utah, and the university separate in each state is preferable. I think, however, that the work of the two institutions should be as separate and distinct as possible. It seems logical that the agricultural and mechanical colleges should have all the courses leading to industrial occupations, namely: Agriculture, various engineering courses, and domestic science. The Agricultural College, various engineering courses, and the professional schools. Until, at least, the agricultural colleges have the liberal arts and the professional schools. Until, at least, the agricultural colleges have the liberal arts and the professional schools.

Very truly yours, E. R. NICHOLS.

Another curiosity that came in the mail was an envelope, the superscription on which was in rhyme. It is as follows:

"Uncle Sam, please take this letter To Salt Lake City, quick. To the Herald's office on Main street Where the letters are coming thick. This list of rebus must be in that office very soon. Can't the stamp and send it in? Before tomorrow noon."

The envelope was received in good time and was properly stamped with the time stamp.

Another readable answer was entitled "The Aftermath, by A. Victim." It is as follows:

"Many a Mountain Home in this great western Basin will be Weiser for the study of The Herald Rebus Contest, and though the prize may be so very Elly that it may evade many, yet even if they do not Lander this time, they have a Fairview in the geographical location of this country, and a Bountiful supply of new Sparks will be under their Beaver, and Robin no longer will be the Prince of the Brighten up the intellect. When the prize is won The Herald will Payette, and these rebus questions offer a Richfield Tooele, Cheyenne or even Hyrum the

Replies Withheld, They Say.

And although numerous replies were received by the secretary of the commission, as appears from the insertion of the same in the appendix to the majority report, which might have been very much appreciated by the members of the commission, if the members of the commission had been given an opportunity of examining the same, those replies were deliberately withheld from the undersigned members of the commission, notwithstanding their request for the same, and were used by the majority members alone in making their appeal for consolidation of the two schools.

Garbling is Charged.

One thing is certain, and that is some of the replies set forth in the report of the majority have been emasculated of such portions as were deemed unfavorable to the Agricultural College, without the remotest suggestion, intimation or disclaimer, marking, that the entire reply was not appended. The following are samples, viz:

Reply as set forth in report.

June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir: I have had some experience in agricultural colleges where they are connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest. I have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

any knowledge of or participation in the same. You will notice particularly the statement that the "facts" enclosed were submitted by Mr. Eaton, "as the secretary of the commission." This would presuppose that the answers to the letters would have been the property of the commission, and not that of the majority members alone, even had the communication not contained the statement that the opinion of the addresses would "be greatly appreciated by the members of the commission."

Replies Withheld, They Say.

And although numerous replies were received by the secretary of the commission, as appears from the insertion of the same in the appendix to the majority report, which might have been very much appreciated by the members of the commission, if the members of the commission had been given an opportunity of examining the same, those replies were deliberately withheld from the undersigned members of the commission, notwithstanding their request for the same, and were used by the majority members alone in making their appeal for consolidation of the two schools.

Garbling is Charged.

One thing is certain, and that is some of the replies set forth in the report of the majority have been emasculated of such portions as were deemed unfavorable to the Agricultural College, without the remotest suggestion, intimation or disclaimer, marking, that the entire reply was not appended. The following are samples, viz:

Reply as set forth in report.

June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir: I have had some experience in agricultural colleges where they are connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest. I have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa State College, with much interest.

Reply as sent commission.

Ohio State University, Columbus, O., June 7, 1906.—My Dear Sir:—Your favor of May 11th came to hand, and I should have been answered before this time but has been delayed. I have read with much interest the letter which you have sent me, and I am glad to hear that you have had some experience in agricultural colleges connected with the state, and where they are separate, having served nearly three years as a member of the faculty of the Iowa